POPULATION GROWTH SLOWS DURING FY 1973

The high rate of growth exhibited by Alaska's population during the first two years of the decade was moderated somewhat during FY 1973. From July 1, 1972 to July 1, 1973 Alaska's statewide population rose by roughly 1.7 percent. For the comparable 1971-1972 period the State's population grew by 3.6 percent, while total growth since the 1970 Census was 9.2 percent. Table 1 below gives the change in Alaska's population by race 1972-1973 periods.

Racial Breakdown of Alaska's Population 1970-72-73

			Percent	Change
<u>1973</u>	<u>1972</u>	<u>1970</u>	<u>1972-73</u>	<u>1970-73</u>
330,365	324,942	302,361	1.7%	9.2%
261,979	258,724	238,746	1.3%	9.6%
9,910	9,417	8,911	5.2%	11.2%
16,850	16,668	16,276	1.1%	3.5%
37,001	35,978	34,378	2.8%	7.6%
4,625	4,155	4,050	11.3%	14.2%
68,386	66,218	63,615	3.0%	7.5%
	330,365 261,979 9,910 16,850 37,001 4,625	330,365 324,942 261,979 258,724 9,910 9,417 16,850 16,668 37,001 35,978 4,625 4,155	330,365 324,942 302,361 261,979 258,724 238,746 9,910 9,417 8,911 16,850 16,668 16,276 37,001 35,978 34,378 4,625 4,155 4,050	330,365 324,942 302,361 1.7% 261,979 258,724 238,746 1.3% 9,910 9,417 8,911 5.2% 16,850 16,668 16,276 1.1% 37,001 35,978 34,378 2.8% 4,625 4,155 4,050 11.3%

While no one explanation for the lower population growth from 1972 to 1973 is apparent, there are a number of factors which when taken together appear to account for much of it. One of these, which is also being noted for the country as a whole, is a declining birth rate. In Alaska, the estimated number of births has been falling steadily since 1970. The reasons for this decline are much the same as those noted nationwide. These include a desire for smaller families that comes from rising education levels, and the ability parents have to effectively influence family size that has resulted from the increasing availability of various birth control devices. Also influencing the trend towards smaller families is the entry of wives into the work force as secondary wage earners. Reasons for this entry run the gamut from economic necessity created by steadily rising living costs, to a desire on the part of modern women to seek out an identity transcending that which is inherent in her traditional role of wife and mother.

But, if birth rates have been declining steadily since 1970, why was statewide population growth as strong as it was from 1970 to 1972? The answer to this appears to lie in reduced levels of migration, during FY 1973 compared with previous years. To see why migration to Alaska would have been higher during

FY 1970-72 than during FY 1973 requires a comparative look at the economies of the United States, the Pacific Northwest, and Alaska during the period. From 1970-1972 the United States experienced an economic slowdown induced by the administration as a means of dampening the inflationary fires kindled during the late sixties by the Viet Nam war. As a result of this slowdown many people were put out of work and nationwide monthly unemployment rates rose above 6 percent. In the Pacific Northwest these declines were intensified by coincident defense cutbacks which came as the U.S. disengaged itself from Viet Nam, and cut defense spending in other areas. Conversely, during the same period Alaska's economy was receiving a great deal of publicity nationwide as a result of North Slope oil discoveries and the Trans-Alaska Pipeline project. This publicity drew many unemployed persons, particularly those from the Pacific Northwest, to the State in hopes of finding oil related employment. While most of these individuals became discouraged by poor job prospects resulting from the pipeline delay, enough stayed to make a significant contribution to Alaska's 1970-72 population growth. By FY 1973, however, the situation had changed. In Alaska, delays continued to pile on top of one another, and it began to appear that the pipeline

might not be built for several years. At the same time the U. S. economy was coming out of the doldrums and had begun to expand, and increased defense hiring combined with economic diversification was bringing the Pacific Northwest back to life. These factors, when taken together, appear to have significantly reduced levels of migration into Alaska during FY 1973. These lower levels of migration combined with the 5 percent decline in the number of births over the period seem largely to account for Alaska's unusually low population growth from FY 1972 to FY 1973.

Work Force and Employment Trends

Even while Alaska's total population growth was moderating, expansion of both work force and employment continued at brisk levels as noted in the table below.

Statewide
Work Force Employment and Unemployment

	FY 1973	FY 1972	Percent Change 1972-73
Civilian Work Force	134,500	126,000	6.8%
Employment	120,900	114,100	5.6%
Unemployment	13,600	11,900	14.3%
Rate	10.1%	9.4%	****

The higher level of growth relative to that noted earlier for total population reflects in part the entry into the job market of many Alaskans who, though employable, were not actively seeking work during FY 1972. This group probably includes females who. as we have already noted, entered the work force as secondary wage earners, together with members of Alaska's Native population who had engaged in subsistence related activities during the previous fiscal year. The decision of these individuals to enter the work force could have been encouraged by a number of factors. For many minority persons, the continued evolution of Alaska's bush areas from a subsistence to a cash economy probably influenced their entry into the work force. In addition, much of the employment growth that took place from FY 1972 to FY 1973. came in services, trade, finance and government, all of which employ relatively larger numbers of both females and minority persons than do the other economic sectors. The demand created by these new openings probably effected a significant improvement

in the employment outlook for both of these groups, and this would have provided a further incentive for both females and non-whites to enter the work force.

Also important in determining the difference in growth levels between total population and work force is the fact that while population growth was not very large, that growth which did occur came mostly as a result of migration. Since a large proportion of migrants tend to be employable persons (i.e. single males or females) their impact on work force and employment figures is considerably greater than would be similar levels of population growth resulting from natural increase (i.e. births minus deaths).

The outlook for both population and work force during FY 1974 and beyond indicates that the FY 1973 growth levels are virtually certain to be greatly exceeded during the coming two years. With the Trans-Alaska pipeline project slated to begin next spring, Alaska is back in the national limelight and migration into the State has picked up. These high levels of migration will certainly translate into

ESTIMATED CIVILIAE WORKFO	RCE IN ALA	SKA Nov.	1973	′
			Chang	es From
INDUSTRY 11-73	10-73	11-72	10-73	11-72
CIVILIAN WORKFORCE135,800	137,900	131,500	-2,100	4,300
INVOLVED IN WORK STOFPAGES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNEMPLOYMENT 15,300	11,900	13,300	3,400	2,000
Percent of Workforce	8.6	10.1	-	-
TOTAL EMPLOYHEST 2/120,500	126,000	118,200	-5,500	2,300
Monagricultural Wage & Salery 3/ 107,800 Mining	112,000	105,500	-4,200 - 100	2,300
Construction 8,100	10,200	7,900	-2,100	200
Manufacturing 8.600	8,700	7,500	- 100	1,100
Durable Goods 3.200	3,500	2,500	- 300	700
Lumber, Wood Products 2.600	2,700	2,000	- 100	600
Other Durable Goods 600	800	500	- 200	100
Non Durable Goods 5,400	5,200	5,000	200	400
Food Processing 3,300	3,200	3,000	100	300
Other Non Durable Goods 2,100	2,000	2,000	100	100
TranspComm. & Utilities 10,300	10,600	10,000	- 300	300
Trucking & Warehousing 1,700	1,700	1,500	0	200
Water Transportation 800	1,000	800	- 200	0
Air Transportation 3,100	3,100	3,000	0	100
Other TranspComm. & Utilities 4,700	4,800	4,700	- 100	0
Trade 18,700	18,700	17,600	0	1,100
Wholesale Trade 3,400	3,400	3,300	0	100
Retail Trade 15,300	15,300	14,300	0	1,000
General Merchandise & Appar 4,000	4,000	3,800	0	200
Food Stores 2,100	2,100	1,700	0	400
Eating & Drinking Places 3,600	3,700	3,400	- 100	200
Other Retail Trade 5,500	5,500	5,400	100	200
Finance-Insurance & Real Estate 4,200	4,200	4,100	0	100
Service & Miscellensous 15,400	15,700	14,700	- 300	700
Government 4/ 40,600	41,900	41,900	-1,300	-1,300
Federal 17,200	17,200	17,400	0	- 200
State 12,900	14,000	14,200	-1,100	-1,300
Local 10,500	10,700	10,300	- 200	200

- 1/ Estimated in accordance with techniques recommended by U. S. Bureau of Labor
- 2/ Includes domestics, nonagricultural self employed and unpaid family workers, and agricultural workers.
- 3/ Prepared in cooperation with the U. S. Bareau of Labor Statistics.
- 4/ Includes teachers in primery and secondary schools, and personnel employed by the University of Alaska.

increased population growth during the duration of the project.

Like total population and work force, employment will also expand rapidly once pipeline construction gets going. During fiscal 1975 over 10,000 workers will be employed on the pipeline project, with many additional jobs to be created in supporting industries. However, the flood of migrants noted above who will be drawn to Alaska will exceed the available jobs causing unemployment to rise well above the levels noted during FY 1973.

Precisely how high Alaska's unemployment goes during Fiscal Year 1975 will be determined by a number of factors. These include the success of the State and Alyeska in discouraging jobseekers from coming to Alaska, the number of jobs that are actually created in other economic sectors as a result of pipeline construction, and the condition of the U. economy during the period of pipeline construction. This last item seems especially crucial. There exists a disturbing possibility that the energy crisis may drive the U.S. economy into a recession. Should this occur, the result according to some authorities would be an unemployment rate nationally that might go as high as 8 per cent. In terms of numbers this translates into roughly 7 million Americans out of work compared with the present figure of around four million. Furthermore, many more of these jobless persons than is now the case would be what economists refer to as experienced unemployed, i.e. persons having skills for which in a healthly economy there would be a demand in the labor market. With the pipeline under construction and the rest of the country in the throes of a recession. Alaska would represent one of the few bright spots in an otherwise gloomy national economic picture. Given a large pool of unemployed workers having potentially marketable skills, it is not unreasonable to assume that migration of jobseekers to the state could rise far above even the high levels now expected. If even 40,000 persons (roughly six tenths of one per cent of the national unemployment figure) were to come to Alaska during a given quarter of the pipeline construction period, it would add a considerable burden to State and local government agencies charged with providing various social services. As a result, it appears certain that these agencies, and indeed all sectors of government are going to be severely challenged once pipeline construction starts.

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE INSURED UNEMPLOYED IN ALASKA

Total Week

	State	Unemploymen	nt Insurance			
			Insured Unemployed Based on Key Week			
s	Claime	ed	1 <u>1-73</u> 1 <u>0-73</u> 1 <u>1-72</u> 6,302 4,026 5,093			

Percent

17

6

Characteristic	Distribution			
<u>Total</u>	100	100	100	
	Sex and Age			
Men Under 45	50	45	49	
45 and over	24	20	28	
Women				

	Ind			
Mining	3	2	4	
Contract Construction	33	22	36	
Manufacturing	11	13	15	
TranspComm. & Utilities	10	11	11	
Trade	18	21	15	
Finance-Insurance & R. E	3	4	2	
Service & Miscellaneous	21	26	16	
All Other	1	1	1	

45 and over.....

	Occupation			
Professional & Managerial	7	8	7	
Clerical & Sales	16	19	12	
Service	14	15	11	
Farming-Fishing & Forestry	3	3	3	
Processing	5	7	8	
Machine Trades	4	4	4	i
Bench Work	0	1	1	
Structural Work	36	29	37	
Miscellaneous	13	12	14	
Unknown	2	2	3	

	Lei	agth of	Curre	nt Spell
	of	Insure	d Unen	ployment
1-4 Weeks		51	51	50
5-14 Weeks		39	36	41
15 Weeks and over		10	13	9

ALASKA'S ECONOMY IN NOVEMBER

Employment-Unemployment: Total estimated employment drifted downward by 5500 from October to November. All major industrial sectors except finance, insurance and real estate registered declines, with the largest drops coming in construction and government. Compared with a year ago, employment was up by 2300, with large gains in