ANCHORAGE JOBS: SENSITIVE TO A CAPITAL MOVE?

by David M. Reaume. Consulting Economist

will reduce the level of Anchorage employ- firm basis. Those who are dependent upon ment from what it otherwise would be, un- State government spending in Anchorage less a "hold harmless" policy is adopted, are clearly in an exposed economic posi-By a hold harmless policy is meant public tion. sector or subsidized private sector job creation designed to just offset the loss of jobs caused by the capital move. Said differently, given a capital move, a Anchorage is not the State capital. Why choice must be made between job loss in should a capital move cause Anchorage to Anchorage (as well as in Juneau), on the experience a loss of jobs? That question one hand, and public sector enlargement on the other. A detailed analysis has tential causes of job loss in Anchorage. yet to be done. Nevertheless, one can say with some confidence that the total First, even if no State government posinumber of jobs that could be lost in tions are moved from Anchorage to Willow, Anchorage as a result of the planned there will be a reduction in State governcapital move to Willow could run as high ment demand for Anchorage support seras four or five thousand. This figure vices when Juneau is no longer the capiincludes (1) reductions in direct State tal. government employment; (2) losses due to traveling from Juneau to Anchorage rereduced State purchases and reduced expen- quire air transportation, diture on travel, room and board; and or taxicabs, lodging, and food. (3) secondary job losses incurred as the Willow is within driving result of the negative multiplier effect Anchorage, there is every reason to beattending primary losses.

At presently forecasted rates of economic growth, Anchorage can expect to regain four thousand lost jobs in one to two years time. In this sense, therefore, potential job losses from a capital move do not threaten a catastrophic blow to the Anchorage economy. Indeed, given renewed growth in other sectors of the Anchorage economy, Anchorage firms trading with a sufficiently diversified portion of the local economy should experience little or no absolute loss of business.

Even so, the number of potential Anchorage job losses is large enough to warrant public discussion. Given the inability to fine tune the economy, one should not assume that new Anchorage economic growth will precisely compensate capital move

Moving the capital from Juneau to Willow losers on a person-to-person or firm-to-

WHY ANCHORAGE?

can be answered by considering four po-

State government employees now rental cars Because distance of lieve that many of the services presently required by the Juneau-based State government worker will not be required by the person filling that position after it is moved to Willow. Continuing this line of thought, if direct, regularly scheduled commercial air service to Willow is provided, at least a portion of the passengers and freight presently bound for the State capital at Juneau and routed through Anchorage, would likely be sent directly to Willow. If so, the demand overnight accommodations for Anchorage and related services would be further reduced, or transferred to Willow.

The remaining three possible sources of job loss in Anchorage are all associated with the actual transfer of State government positions to Willow. They are: (1) transfers to promote government efficiency (positions presently in Anchorage for

reasons of regional balance may be more ed. Several which are not, are the Diviefficiently maintained in Willow once sion of Minerals and Energy Management the capital is moved; (2) the need to es- of the Alaska Department of Natural tablish a population in Willow large Resources, the Division of Energy enough to support the variety of year- and Power Development of the Alaska Deround professional, recreational, busi- partment of Commerce and Economic Develness, and social services required by a opment, and the Division of Community capital city and (3) political and bureau- Planning of the cratic jockeying.

all Anchorage job loss will be a multiple Willow, in the event of a capital move. of the direct government job loss. In addition to the government jobs lost, Definitive estimates of the number of there will be: (a) "multiplier" induced Anchorage State government jobs which are support sector losses in services, trade susceptible to being transferred to Wiland other industries (including local low have yet to be made. However, using government), associated with the move of the notion of "Anchorage-focused" as a resident Anchorage State government em- guide, as many as eight hundred jobs ployees to Willow1/; (b) a loss of sup- (twenty-five percent of the Anchorage port sector business associated with the State government total), does not seem transfer of State government contractual too high. If, in addition, certain South spending to Willow (utilities, office central regional State government func-space, repair services, clerical ser- tions now filled from Anchorage are vices, etc.); (c) further job losses in judged to be more efficiently filled travel related transferred employees would, henceforth, close to related State functions), a totbe visited in Willow; and (d) losses al transfer of two thousand State jobs caused by the multiplier effect of (b) from Anchorage to Willow would not be and (c).

SOME PRELIMINARY NUMERICAL ESTIMATES

In May 1979, the most recent month for which detailed departmental/regional employment estimates have been published, there were 3,213 central State government employees in Anchorage, and 3,595 in federal revenue sharing losses might re-Juneau.^{2/} These figures exclude employ- present a significant part of the fallout ees of the University of Alaska, Alaska Community colleges, and the Alaska Psychiatric Institute.

An "Anchorage-focused" State government agency is defined for purposes of this to serve Anchorage people. Examples Troopers and the local offices of the impact should not be even that great. State employment service.

located in Anchorage are Anchorage-focus- Labor, Research and Analysis Section.

of Energy Alaska Department of Community and Regional Affairs. Agencies now located in Anchorage which are not If, indeed, State government jobs are Anchorage-focused, would seem to be the moved from Anchorage to Willow, the over- most likely candidates for movement to

> as a industries because the from Willow (serve the region and be out of the question.

> > Private sector Anchorage job losses caused by the transfer of State government jobs

1/ Some observers have suggested that present a significant part of the fallout from a capital move. For the year ending September 30, 1980, the Municipality of Anchorage received \$6.8 million in federal revenue sharing funds or \$37.38 per civilian resident. At this amount per capita, the capital move would cost Anchorage at article to be one which exists primarily most \$250,000 in revenue sharing funds. Given pressure from the Reagan Adminisinclude the local detachment of State tration to cut back the program, the actual

2/ "Government Occupational Employment Not all of the State government agencies Statistics, 1979," Alaska Department of

from Anchorage to Willow would not be re- Definitive estimates of capital movestricted to just the fallout from lost related Anchorage visitor industry losses State government payrolls. In fact, if have not been made. One can, however, enough of the persons holding the trans- gain some feel for the numbers involved ferred State jobs lived outside of Anchor- by examining the statistics on Juneauage to begin with, the private sector Anchorage air traffic kept by Alaska Airjob loss caused by reduced State payrolls lines and Wien Air Alaska. could be less than the direct and multiplier losses from factors such as cuts If we assume (1) that 25 percent of the in government purchases and contractual spending, reduced State government demand for office and warehouse space, and lowered State government demand for travel services, and for overnight room and board. (The transferred workers would henceforth be visited in Willow).

Studies done both here in Alaska and elsewhere, as well as simulations of the State econometric model, suggest that, all things considered, approximately 0.8 to 1.2 extra jobs would be lost in Anchorage for every State job transferred to Willow. Using the low end of this range (0.8), to allow for the fact that some Anchorage workers live outside of the city proper, it can be shown that a transfer of Anchorage State government jobs to Willow could result in a total loss of approximately 3600 (i.e. 2000 x 1.8) Anchorage jobs in the public and private sectors combined, in addition to visitor industry job losses caused by the drop in overnight Juneau business.

It was noted earlier that even if there are no State government jobs transferred from Anchorage to Willow, the transfer of Juneau positions to Willow will reduce the demand in Anchorage for visitor services. State government workers stationed in Willow will need less visitor-industry support when they visit Anchorage on business than they presently need when visiting from Juneau. In addition, the Anchorage visitor industry would very likely experience a reduction in demand from other Alaska travelers, once Willow had its own fully equipped commercial airport. Direct commercial air service to Willow from Fairbanks, Nome, Bethel and elsewhere, could noticeably reduce the demand for overnight visitor services in age air passengers in 1980 and about the Anchorage, even if Anchorage loses none same number of Anchorage-Juneau passengers. of its State government employment.

Juneau to Anchorage passenger air traffic originates in Juneau, with the remainder being return trip and through traffic, and (2) that the capital-move-induced percent drop in this traffic is the same estimated capital-move-induced as the percent drop in Juneau employment; then the Anchorage visitor industry stands to lose 1,000 Juneau originating air visitors per year as a result of the capital move for every 500 state jobs transferred from Juneau to Willow, even if no State government jobs are transferred from Anchorage to Willow,1/ Business visits from Willow to Anchorage may numerically substitute for the lost Juneau visits, but are likely to be a poor economic substitute for them, given the relative ease with which Willow visitors can return home after a day's trip to Anchorage, and, therefore, their reduced demand for visitor services.

CONCLUSION

Contrary to widespread public opinion, a move of the Alaska State capital from Juneau to Willow may well entail the loss of both public and private sector jobs in Anchorage. Some of these potential Anchorage job losses represent gains in government efficiency, others simply a transfer of activity to Willow. In total, be-tween four thousand and five thousand jobs could disappear from the Anchorage economy.

1/ Air traffic data were supplied by Alaska Airlines, Wien Air Alaska. There were approximately 48,000 Juneau-Anchor-