Income in Alaska: A Decade of Change

by Greg Williams

hile Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) statistics offer annual trends in per capita income, the decennial census offers a more unique look at income distribution and the characteristics of the population with regard to real income.

Alaska ranked first with a median household income of \$25,414 in 1979. At that time the national median household income was only \$16,841. By 1989, the national median household income had risen to \$30,056, an increase of 78.5%. Meanwhile, Alaska had slipped to second place in 1989 with a median household income of \$41,408, an increase of only 62.9% over 1979. Connecticut ranked first with \$41,721. The result of the differential growth of income in the 1980s has been that while Alaskans still enjoy high incomes, the median household income has declined relative to that of the nation as a whole.

Unique gains in income

As stated above, the median household income in Alaska in 1989 was \$41,408, up from \$25,414 in 1979. (See Table 1). This is a 62.9% increase during the decade. When considered against the U.S. cost of living index, Alaskan income had a real loss of \$1,186 dollars during the decade. When measured against the cost of living in Anchorage, the median household income had a real gain of \$4,837 during the decade. Clearly, how Alaskan's income fared depends on how one measures the change in the cost of living over the decade. One could certainly argue that the cost of living in Anchorage is more representative of the real cost to Alaskans than the national cost of living. We have clearly had unique gains this decade in real income. The elimination of the income tax, the gain of permanent fund and longevity bonuses, not to mention a host of other heavily state supported programs ranging from education to housing have contributed to Alaskan income and cost of living during this decade.

Certainly the change in cost of living in different areas of Alaska will differ substantially from that of Anchorage. This will blur analysis of the relative gain or loss of median income over the decade.

Income varies with geography


There is no true comparison available for the newly formed boroughs with their 1980 counterparts. Income comparisons for Aleutians East Borough, Aleutians West census area, Lake and Peninsula Borough and Dillingham census area are not currently possible with any accuracy.

Greg Williams is the demographer with the Research & Analysis Section, Administrative Services Division, Alaska Department of Labor. He is based in Juneau.

The statistics on income are from Summary Tape File 3. Further tabulations will be available from Summary Tape File 4 in early 1993 and more detail will emerge with further study.

Figure • 1

Household Income in Alaska by Race: 1989



Source: 1990 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Tape File 3.

Household Income for Alaska Boroughs and Census Areas: 1989 and 1979

							Adjusted	to 1989	\$			
	Me	dian			w	ith US CI	PI*	with A	Anchoraç	ge CPI		
	Inc	ome	Cha	inge	Median		%	Median		%	7775	
				%	Income	Change	Change	Income	Change		-	seholds
	1979	1989	1979-89	1979-89	1979	1979-89	1979-89	1979	1979-89	1979-89	1980	1990
Alaska	25,414	41,408	15,994	62.9	42,594	-1,186	-2.8	36,571	4,837	13.2	132,369	189,700
Aleutian Islands Census Area	19,389			-	32,496			27,901		-	1,595	2,383
Aleutians East Borough	-	42,384	1.4	3		1.2	- 2			3	412	534
Aleutians West Census Area	200	35,187	1	-			-				1,183	1,849
Anchorage Borough	27,375	43,946	16,571	60.5	45,881	-1,935	-4.2	39,393	4,553	11.6	60,826	83,043
Bethel Census Area	13,656	25,402	11,746	86.0	22,887	2,515	11.0	19,651	5,751	29.3	2,705	3,608
Bristol Bay Borough	33,516	51,112	17,596	52.5	56,173	-5,061	-9.0	48,230	2,882	6.0	243	417
Dillingham Census Area	18,977	-			31,805		2.	27,308			1,214	1,723
Dillingham Census Area	+	28,779					-				834	1,212
Lake and Peninsula Borough		25,231				0.00	(2	4004	1000		380	511
Fairbanks North Star Borough	23,647	37,468	13,821	58.4	39,632	-2,164	-5.5	34,028	3,440	10.1	18,357	26,831
Haines Borough	20,893	36,048	15,155	72.5	35,017	1,031	2.9	30,065	5,983	19.9	579	806
Juneau Borough	30,834	47,924	17,090	55.4	51,678	-3,754	-7.3	44,370	3,554	8.0	7,076	9,958
Kenai Peninsula Borough	23,660	42,403	18,743	79.2	39,654	2,749	6.9	34,047	8,356	24.5	8,656	14,323
Ketchikan Gateway Borough	27,015	45,172	18,157	67.2	45,277	-105	-0.2	38,875	6,297	16.2	4,041	4,969
Kodiak Island Borough	26,421	44,815	18,394	69.6	44,282	533	1.2	38,020	6,795	17.9	3,033	4,108
Matanuska-Susitna Borough	23,483	40,745	17,262	73.5	39,358	1,387	3.5	33,792	6,953	20.6	5.711	13,501
Nome Census Area	14,550	30,144	15,594	107.2	24,386	5,758	23.6	20,937	9,207	44.0	1,758	2,407
North Slope Borough	31,378	50,473	19,095	60.9	52,590	-2,117	4.0	45,153	5,320		994	1,688
Northwest Arctic Borough	17,756	33,313	15,557	87.6	29,759	3,554	11.9	25,551	7,762	30.4	1,149	1,543
Prince of Wales-Outer												
Ketchikan Census Area	21,947	39,495	17,548		36,783	2,712	7.4				1,132	2,068
Sitka Borough	31,133	43,337	12,204		52,179	-8,842	-16.9	44,800		-3,3	2,467	2,933
Skagway-Yakutat-Angoon C.A.		38,583	17,187	80.3	35,860	2,723	7.6	30,789		25.3	1,102	1,413
Southeast Fairbanks Census Arc	a 15,903	30,222			26,653	3,569	13,4	22,884		32,1	1,672	1,918
Valdez-Cordova Census Area	27,469	47,500		72.9	46,038	1,462	3.2	39,528	7,972		2,695	3,430
Wade Hampton Census Area	11,373	20,586		81.0	19,061	1,525	8.0	16,366	0.000,000		964	1,378
Wrangell-Petersburg C.A.	24,403	42,020			40,899	1,121	2.7	35,116			2,052	2,478
Yukon-Koyukuk Census Area	12,371	23,945	11,574	93.6	20,734	3,211	15.5	17,802	6,143	34.5	2,290	2,774

Source: 1980 and 1990 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Tape File 3.

* = Consumer Price Index

The areas of Alaska with the lowest incomes and greatest poverty are generally the areas with among the lowest labor force participation and highest unemployment. While the overall income growth for Alaskans during the last decade may be in question, some limited progress has been made in fighting poverty and improving income distribution across the state.

The highest median household incomes for boroughs and census areas in 1989 (see Tables 1 and 2) were found in Bristol Bay (\$51,112), North Slope (\$50,473), Juneau (\$47,924), Valdez-Cordova (\$47,500) and Ketchikan-Gateway (\$45,172). The lowest median household in-

comes were found in Wade Hampton (\$20,586), Yukon-Koyukuk (\$23,945), Lake & Peninsula (\$25,231), Bethel (\$25,402) and Dillingham (\$28,779).

In 1979, the areas with the highest median incomes were found in the following boroughs and census areas: Bristol Bay (\$33,516), North Slope (\$31,378), Juneau (\$30,834), Sitka (\$31,133) and Valdez-Cordova (\$27,469). The lowest household incomes in 1979 were found in: Wade Hampton (\$11,373), Yukon-Koyukuk (\$12,371), Bethel (\$13,656), Nome (\$14,550), and Southeast Fairbanks (\$15,903). In general, the areas of the highest and lowest income have remained relatively the

same over the decade. The areas of low income also tend to have the lowest of labor force participation and the highest levels of unemployment.

The greatest increase in median household income among boroughs and census areas during the decade has come in Nome +107.2%, Yukon-Koyukuk +93.6%, Southeast Fairbanks +90.0%, Northwest Arctic +87.6%, and Bethel +86.0%. The Aleutians also gained somewhere between 81% and 119%. The least growth in median household income was found in Sitka +39.2%, Bristol Bay +52.5%, Juneau +55.4%, Fairbanks North Star +58.5%, and Anchorage +60.5%. Lake & Peninsula and Dillingham also grew very slowly (about 33-51%). In general, the slowest relative growth in income occurred in the largest urban areas of the state and the largest relative growth in some of the more rural areas of the state.

Household composition changes

It is important to briefly consider changes in the composition of the households earning incomes. In 1990, census sample data indicated that Alaska had 189,700 households. (See Table 7.) Of these, 71.1% contained families composed of two or more individuals related by blood, marriage or adoption. Married couple families made up 57.5% of all households; 13.6% of the households were made up of single parent families; 9.3% had female heads and 4.3% had male heads. The remaining 28.9% of the households were nonfamily households composed of unrelated individuals. Of these, 21.9% are persons who live alone and 7.0% are composed of two or more unrelated individuals.

Over the decade, the Alaska household changed by the following percentage points: family households -2.1: married couple families -4.5, single parent families +2.5, female headed+1.7, male headed+0.8, nonfamily households +2.1, living alone +2.0, householder not living alone +0.1. All of the household composition changes over the last decade act structurally to reduce household income, since households headed by single parents and persons in nonfamily households tend to have lower income and higher levels of poverty.

In terms of racial composition in 1990, 80.8% of Alaska households were occupied by Whites, 11.8% by Native Americans, and the remaining were occupied by Black 3.7%; Asian and Pacific Islanders 2.6% and other races 1.1%. (See Table 3, Figures 1 and 2.) The category of other races represents a failure of individuals to select one of the four race groups, which should account for 100%.

During the decade the change in household racial composition was the following percentage points: White

Median Income and Labor Force Characteristics

Ferroma In 1006	Median Income	Particl- pation Rate ¹	Civilian Unem- ployed Rate	Median Income	Partici- pation Rate ¹	Civilian Unem- ployed Rate
Income in 1989	1989	1990	1990	1979	1980	1980
Alaska	41,408	74.7	8.8	25,414	71.5	9.7
Aleutian Islands Census Ar	ea -			19,389	79.4	6.6
Aleutians East Borough	42,384	73.7	1.4	-	-	
Alcutians West C.A.	35,187	91.5	3.3		-	
Anchorage Borough	43,946	78.4	7.0	27,375	75.9	8.3
Bethel Census Area	25,402	54.9	13.1	13,656	49.5	11.5
Bristol Bay Borough	51,112	76.4	6.9	33,516	75.4	13.0
Dillingham Census Area				18,977	51.5	17.0
Dillingham Census Area	28,779	53.2	10.6			-
Lake and Peninsula Bor.	25,231	49.1	15.1	0		
Fairbanks North Star Bor.	37,468	77.1	10.2	23,647	73.6	11.6
Haines Borough	36,048	70.2	7.8	20,893	65.2	7.7
Juneau Borough	47,924	78.7	4.8	30,834	78.9	6.9
Kenai Peninsula Borough	42,403	66.9	10.3	23,660	63.5	13.5
Ketchikan Gateway Bor.	45,172	76.2	7.2	27,015	71.5	5.0
Kodiak Island Borough	44,815	79.8	5.3	26,421	52.0	21.8
Matanuska-Susitna Bor.	40,745	66.5	11.6	23,483	74.5	7.3
Nome Census Area	30,144	61.0	16.5	14,550	62.6	14.2
North Slope Borough	50,473	76.5	14.7	31,378	52.6	17.3
Northwest Arctic Bor.	33,313	57.9	20.3	17,756	69.5	7.5
Prince of Wales-						
Outer Ketchikan C.A.	39,495	69.9	14.9	21,947	66.2	7.7
Sitka Borough	43,337	78.3	6.7	31,133	74.5	6.6
Skagway-Yakutat-Angoon		0.00	-			-
Census Area	38,583	76.5	13.1	21,396	64.5	16.7
Southeast Fairbanks C.A.	30,222	69.6		15,903	70.8	19.3
Valdez-Cordova C.A.	47,500	74.0		27,469	68.8	
Wade Hampton C.A.	20,586	55.7		11,373	46.0	
Wrangell-Petersburg C.A.	42,020	72.3	6.8	24,403	69.9	
Yukon-Koyukuk C.A.	23,945	62.7		12,371	54.9	15.5

-2.1, Native American +0.1, Black +0.4, Asian and Pacific Islander +1.1, other races +0.5. Structurally the changing racial composition of households in the state may also have had a depressing effect on median income since non-White income on average is lower than White income.

Source: 1980 and 1990 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Tape File 3.

Racial gaps in per capita income

According to the 1990 census, the per capita income for Alaska in 1989 was \$17,610. There was substantial differential by race (see Table 4). Per capita for Whites was \$19,903, Asian and Pacific Islanders \$13,113, Blacks \$12,816 and Native Americans \$9,140. In 1980, per capita income was \$10,193. Per capita for Whites

¹ Labor force as a percent of the population that is 16 years or older.

Household Income in Alaska by Race: 1989

	Total	White	Black	Native American	Asian & Pacific Islanders	Other Races	
	189,700	153,372	7,099	22,325	4,900	2,004	
Under \$5,000	6,631	3,954	268	2,149	169	91	
\$5,000-\$9,999	9,152	6,067	323	2,457	203	102	
\$10,000-\$14,999	12,128	7,839	660	2,963	443	223	
\$15,000-\$24,999	25,139	18,451	1,504	3,899	901	384	
\$25,000-\$34,999	25,873	20,549	1,229	3,027	730	338	
\$35,000-\$49,999	35,122	29,315	1,412	3,077	926	392	
\$50,000-\$74,999	40,419	35,264	1,080	2,931	853	291	
\$75,000-\$99,999	20,626	18,589	376	1,139	415	107	
\$100,000 or more	14,610	13,344	247	683	260	76	
		Perce	nt Distrib	ution			
Under \$5,000	3.5	2.6	3.8	9.6	3.4	4.5	
\$5,000-\$9,999	4.8	4.0	4.5	11.0	4.1	5.1	
\$10,000-\$14,999	6.4	5.1	9.3	13.3	9.0	11.1	
\$15,000-\$24,999	13.3	12.0	21.2	17.5	18.4	19.2	
\$25,000-\$34,999	13.6	13.4	17.3	13.6	14.9	16.9	
\$35,000-\$49,999	18.5	19.1	19.9	13.8	18.9	19.6	
\$50,000-\$74,999	21.3	23.0	15.2	13.1	17.4	14.5	
\$75,000-\$99,999	10.9	12.1	5,3	5.1	8.5	5.3	
\$100,000 or more	7.7	8.7	3.5	3.1	5.3	3.8	

Source: 1990 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Tape File 3.

\$8,401, and Native Americans \$5,103.

Alaska does not lend itself to easy generalizations about the geographic distribution of per capita income by race. In general, one can note the high per capita income for Natives in fishing areas such as Bristol Bay. Very low per capita income among rural native households reflect very large household size.

During the ten year period, statewide per capita income increased \$7,417 or 72.8%. White per capita income increased \$8,498 or 74.5%; Asian and Pacific Islander \$4,623 or 54.5%; Black \$4,415 or 52.6% and Native American \$4,037 or 79.1%. This meant that during the decade Native American income increased faster than any other racial group; but it still remains the lowest of all racial groups in Alaska, In 1990, Native American

percapita income was only 45.9% that of Whites, while Asian income was 65.9% and Black income was 64.4% of White income respectively.

Aggregate Income

Total aggregate household income in 1989 earned by Alaskans consisted of \$9,406,638,345 (see Table 5). Wage and salary income made up 78.6%.

Since public assistance (welfare) is frequently the focus of public attention, it seems important to emphasize that in Alaska 15,043 (0.8%) households had some earnings from public assistance with an average household receiving \$4,934 from this source in 1989.

Poverty status relative

The definition of poverty status is based on the cost of food to families of different sizes on a nationwide basis. No adjustment was made in census poverty statistics for the higher

was \$11,405, Asian and Pacific Islanders \$8,490, Blacks cost of living in Alaska, Since the statewide cost of food is approximately 25% above the national average, statistics for 125% of the national poverty level is defined as poverty level in Alaska comparable to the national statistics for 100% of the poverty level, Unfortunately almost no data from the 1990 census on poverty is reported for 125% of poverty.

> As with adjustments for cost of living, there are very substantial differences in cost of living among census areas within Alaska. It is probable that the proportion of persons in poverty may be slightly less for Anchorage where the cost of food is closer to the national average and substantially higher than reported for the most remote and least accessible census area. Further, the definition of poverty is difficult to apply in areas where a majority of persons engage in subsistence activities which result in a larger share of food being obtained

from the land. At best, the statistics on poverty for Alaska should be viewed with caution and only as indicators of the general relative poverty status of the citizens of the state.

The census reports 47,906 people (or 9.0% of the state's population) are considered to be living in poverty (see Table 6). At 125% of the poverty line, that number increases to 66,558 or 12.5% of the state's population.

While only 10.1% of the population of Anchorage was in poverty, they accounted for 33.5% of all persons in poverty in Alaska. The majority of the predominately Native rural areas of the state continued to have over 20% of their population in poverty. The highest were: Wade Hampton 42.6%, Bethel 37.7%, Yukon-Koyukuk 32.6%. Most of these had the highest poverty in 1979. Not surprisingly, these areas also ranked among the lowest in per capita income (see Table 4). The greatest decreases in poverty during the last decade has oc-

curred in the following rural boroughs and census areas: Northwest Arctic, Southeast Fairbanks, Skagway-Yakutat-Angoon, Wade Hampton, Kodiak Island, Nome, Yukon-Koyukuk, and Kenai Peninsula.

The census areas with the lowest poverty levels in 1989 were: Bristol Bay 6.3%, Ketchikan Gateway 6.6% and Sitka 7.2%. These remain among the areas with the lowest poverty in 1979. Only Juneau, Dillingham and Bristol Bay appear to have had an increase in poverty during the decade. The largest increased occurred in Juneau (+1.6 percentage points).

On the other hand, poverty appears to have increased during the decade in the Juneau Borough by 1.6 points, the Dillingham census area by 1.2 points and in the Bristol Bay Borough by 0.3 of a point.

Per Capita Income by Race for Census Areas in Alaska: 1989

	(\$) Total	White	Black	Native American	Asian & Pacific Islanders	Other
Alaska	17,610	19,903	12,816	9,140	13,113	12,601
Aleutians East Borough	17,242	19,552	11,000	17,108	15,040	10,661
Aleutians West Census Area	15,035	16,545	9,973	11,467	14,712	9,782
Anchorage Borough	19,620	21,277	13,649	10,760	13,604	13,713
Bethel Census Area	8,833	24,260	10,377	5,842	11,644	18,484
Bristol Bay Borough	19,123	22,065	25,451	13,080	21,661	12,060
Dillingham Census Area	12,782	24,408	8,873	8,656	7,719	31,577
Fairbanks North Star Borough	15,914	17,145	11,018	9,093	11,062	9,773
Haines Borough	16,204	16,260	20,873	16,618	16,541	8,266
Juneau Borough	19,920	21,937	14,034	10,530	12,850	13,356
Kenai Peninsula Borough	18,173	18,805	7,499		11,819	7,831
Ketchikan Gateway Borough	18,789	20,414	13,676		11,462	10,731
Kodiak Island Borough Lake and Peninsula Borough Motorough Species Borough	19,979	23,564 18,911 16,382	16,173 0 13,079	12,237 9,292 9,199	11,058 5,973 7,597	9,484 30,150 10,287
Matanuska-Susitna Borough Nome Census Area North Slope Borough	15,898 10,701 18,231	23,538 38,503	27,873 31,174	6,373 12,120	3,355 19,972	23,280 25,413
Northwest Arctic Borough Prince of Wales-Outer Ketchikan C.A	10,040	26,113 17,710	20,827 11,603	7,236 11,942	42,812 17,353	0 12,145
Sitka Borough	16,962	18,461	20,326	11,950	14,467	24,639
Skagway-Yakutat-Angoon C.A.	15,463	18,403	1,855	10,960	17,749	6,653
Southeast Fairbanks Census Area	12,505	13,803	8,578	7,326	6,617	7,855
Valdez-Cordova Census Area	22,772	24,443	12,715	14,789	12,793	17,363
Wade Hampton Census Area	6,519	27,646	16,977	5,059	10,608	32,820
Wrangell-Petersburg Census Area	19,012	20,313	13,126	13,848	24,935	10,205
Yukon-Koyukuk Census Area	11,554	18,886	14,020	6,022	9,327	9,818

Source: 1990 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Tape File 3.

Unfortunately, none of the data on characteristics of poverty are adjusted to the 125% level for Alaska. The reader should be aware that the relationships observed here for households in poverty are based on the 100% national poverty level and that the actual levels will probably be on the order of 3.5% higher than what is reported (see Table 6).

In general, persons under 25 and over 75 tend to have higher levels of poverty. The age groups with the highest levels of poverty are found among persons 18-24 and children under 5 in families (see Table 7 and 8),

When considering household composition, the lowest levels of poverty are found among married couple families where only 3.7% of Alaska married couple households live in poverty. The highest levels are found

Aggregate and Mean Income by Type in 1989

7/ Other income includes: unemployment insurance, alimony and child support, contributions from persons not in the household, military family allocations, net gambling winnings and other periodic contributions.


Source: 1990 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Tape File 3.

	Aggregate Income 1989 (\$)	% Distri- bution	House- holds with Earnings by Type	Mean Income (\$)
Total	\$9,406,638,345		175,159	53,703
Wage and Salary Income Nonfarm Self-employmen	7,392,889,004	78.6	168,853	43,783
Income Farm Self-employment	812,762,147	8.6	35,475	22,911
Income Interest, Dividend, Net	13,453,664	0.1	2,454	5,482
Rental Income	552,169,019	5.9	148,874	3,709
Social Security Income	146,883,585	1.6	20,371	7,210
Public Assistance Income	74,217,396	0.8	15,043	4,934
Retirement and Disability				
Income	307,064,125	3.3	24,232	12,672
Other Income 1/	107,199,405	1.1	30,439	3,522

Figure • 2

1989 Percent Distribution of Household Income in Alaska

Source: 1990 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Tape File 3.



among single-parent families with 24.1% of female-headed households in poverty. Male-headed single-parent families have 11.6% in poverty. Nonfamily households also have higher levels of poverty with 10.8% in poverty. Of these households, those containing single individuals have 11.1% in poverty. Households containing two or more unrelated personshad 10.1% in poverty.

In general, poverty among the elderly does not significantly increase until over age 75. Among those over 75, 12.3% were in poverty. Among those over 75 living alone or heading single-parent households, poverty increases to over 14%.

While the overall 100% poverty level in Alaska was 9.0% in 1989, there was substantial variation by race. (See Table 8.)

Among Native Americans, 14.6% of the married couple families were in poverty. Among Native American female-headed families with children under 5, 46.9% were in poverty.

As noted above, femaleheaded households account for the largest single category of persons in poverty. The percent of femaleheaded households below the 100% poverty line for Native households was 45%, for Whites 47% and for Blacks 54%. Only Asian households are different in this regard with female-headed households

Persons in Poverty for Alaska Census Areas: 1979-89

	Total	Below 100% of Poverty 1989	% of Total	Below 125% of Poverty 1989	%of Total	Below 125% of Poverty 1979	% of Total	Change in Poverty 1979-89	Point Change 1979-89
Alaska	532,474	47,906	9.0	66,558	12.5	55,909	14.4	10,649	-1.9
Aleutian Islands Census Area Aleutians East Borough Aleutians West Census Area Anchorage Borough	9,852 2,459 7,393 220,789	954 292 662 15,614	9.7 11.9 9.0 7.1	1,448 446 1,002 22,306	14.7 18.1 13.6 10.1	928 17,250	15.7 - 10.2	520 5,056	-0.1
Bethel Census Area Bristol Bay Borough	13,396 1,146	4,022 59	30.0 5.1	5,053 72	37.7 6.3	4,326 45	39.9 6.0	727 27	-2.2 0.3
Dillingham Census Area Dillingham Census Area Lake and Peninsula Borough	5,655 4,005 1,650	1,314 984 330	23.2 24.6 20.0	1,705 1,239 466	30.2 30.9 28.2	1,334	29.0	-1,334	1,2
Fairbanks North Star Borough Haines Borough	73,506 2,041	5,574 187	7.6 9.2	8,446 260	11.5 12.7	6,934 291	13.7 17.4	1,512 -31	4.7
Juneau Borough Kenai Peninsula Borough	26,247 39,725	1,468 3,076	5.6 7.7	1,962 4,089	7.5 10.3	1,135 4,084	5.9 16.3	827 5	-6.0
Ketchikan Gateway Borough Kodiak Island Borough	13,485	566 703	5.5	1,059	6.6 8.3	966 1,634	8.8 14.6	-78 -575	-6.3
Matanuska-Susitna Borough Nome Census Area North Slope Borough	38,996 8,083 5,843	3,661 1,809 506	9.4 22.4 8.7	5,157 2,416 591	13,2 29,9 10,1	1,380 2,719 2,335	15.5 36.1 13.7	3,777 -303 -1,744	-6.2
Northwest Arctic Borough Prince of Wales-Outer Ketchikan C.A.	6,038	1,115 570	18.5	1,378 788	22.8 12.6	546 529	34.2 13.9	832 259	-11.4
Sitka Borough	8,256	398	4.8	596	7.2	531	7.2	65	0.0
Skagway-Yakutat-Angoon Census Area Southeast Fairbanks Census Area	4,374 5,689	390 807	8.9 14.2	576 991	13.2	1,389	20.1	-113 -398	-9.0
Valdez-Cordova Census Area Wade Hampton Census Area	9,700 5,787	865 1,794	8.9 31.0	1,147 2,467	11.8 42.6	1,260 2,267	15.6 49.0	200	-6.4
Wrangell-Petersburg Census Area Yukon-Koyukuk Census Area	6,962 7,905	395 2,059	5.7 26.0	586 2,577	8.4 32.6	536 2,801	8.8 38.7	-224	

accounting for only 24% of all households in poverty. This may result from a greater tendency of Asian families to be intact or part of extended family households.

In general, poverty has decreased somewhat in Alaska since 1979; 6.8% of all families were in poverty in 1989, compared to 8.6% in 1979. Poverty among families in 1989 was: White 4.5%, Asian 6.0%, Black 8.8%, Native 21.5%. Poverty among families in 1979 was as follows: White 6.0%, Asian 6.6%, Black 9.2%, and Native 25.3%. While the greatest percent decline in poverty came among Native Americans, there is a long way to go before any degree of equality of income can be achieved.

Conclusions

While any relative improvement in Alaskans' income during the last decade is in question, some progress has been made in fighting poverty and improving the geographic distribution of income across the state. More improvement might have occurred if changing household composition had not had a depressing effect on household income. Improved household income for Native households, in particular, continues to be offset by large household size. While progress has been made towards greater income equality, the household income of Native Americans clearly remains the lowest of all racial groups in the state.

Source: 1980 and 1990 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Tape File 3.

Poverty Status by Age of Householder by Household Type in Alaska: 1989

		Years Total Years Total Years Total 189,700 100.0 175,619 92.6 10,021 5.3 4,060 2. 109,100 57.5 102,730 94.2 4,974 4.6 1,396 1. 25,706 13.6 24,136 93.9 1,040 4.0 530 2. 8,141 4.3 7,707 94.7 284 3.5 150 1. 17,565 9.3 16,429 93.5 756 4.3 380 2. Below Poverty Below Poverty 15,140 100.0 13,897 91.8 742 4.9 501 3. 4,018 26.5 3,724 92.7 190 4.7 104 2. 5,180 34.2 4,977 96.1 127 2.5 76 1. 942 6.2 875 92.9 44 4.7 23 2. <									
						TO TO	100	% of Total			
Total Households	189,700	100.0	175,619	92.6	10,021	5.3	4,060	2.1			
Married Couple Families	109,100	57.5	102,730	94.2	4,974	4.6	1,396	1.3			
Other Families Male Householder Female Householder	8,141	4.3	7,707	94.7	284	3.5	150	2.1 1.8 2.2			
		Below Poverty									
							100	% of Total			
Total Households	15,140	100.0	13,897	91.8	742	4.9	501	3.3			
Married Couple Families	4,018	26.5	3,724	92.7	190	4.7	104	2.6			
Other Families Male Householder Female Householder	942	6.2	875	92.9	44	4.7	23	1.5 2.4 1.3			
Nonfamily Households Householder Alone Householder Not Alone	4,587	30.3	3,870	84.4	410	8.9	307	5.4 6.7 1.0			
				% Be	elow Pov	verty					
								75+ Years			
Total Households		8.0		7.9		7.4		12.3			
Married Couple Families		3.7		3.6		3.8		7.4			
Other Families Male Householder Female Householder		20.2 11.6 24.1		20.6 11.4 25.0		12.2 15.5 11.0		14.3 15.3 13.9			

10.8

11.1

10.1

Definitions

Income is defined slightly differently by the census and BEA. The census definition of "total income" is the sum of wage and salary income; nonfarm self-employment income; farm self-employment income; interest, dividend or net rental income, social security income, public assistance income, retirement or disability income; and allother income which includes unemployment insurance, Veterans Administration payments, alimony and child support, contributions received periodically from persons not living in the household, military family allotments, net gambling winnings, and other kinds of periodic income other than earnings. Not included are: money received from the sale of property; value of income "in kind" from food stamps, public housing subsidies, medical care, employer contributions for persons, etc; withdrawal of bank deposits; money borrowed; tax refunds; exchange of money between relatives living in the same household; gifts and lump-sum inheritances, insurance payments, and other types of lump-sum receipts.

Mean household income is the "average income," it is the total income divided by the total number of people earning income.

Median household income is the "middle case;" 50% of households have income above or below this income.

10.6

10.8

6.8

10.7

10.8

10.2

15.0

15.2

11.7

Nonfamily Households

Householder Not Alone

Householder Alone

Families in Poverty by Race and Age of Children in Alaska: 1989

		Nu	mber o	f Famil	ies		Families with Income Below Poverty					
	Total	White	Black		Asian/ Pac. Is.	Other	Total	White	Black	Native Amer.	Aslan/ Pac. Is.	Other
Total Families	134,806	107,661	5,418	16,505	3,805	1,417	9,198	4,848	478	3,545	228	99
Married Couple Families With Children Under 18 Under 5 5 to 17 Under 5 & 5-17 No Related Children Under 18	15,866 33,677 17,374	53,956 13,076	3,956 2,681 701 1,174 806 1,275	9,767 7,325 1,308 3,131 2,886 2,442	2,130 529 1,036 565	1,124 825 252 360 213 299	4,018 3,127 713 960 1,454 891	2,270 1,576 395 536 645 694	130 128 19 40 69 2	1,428 1,265 249 333 683 163	158 134 30 51 53 24	32 24 20 0 4 8
Other Families Male Householder, No Wife Present With Children Under 18 Under 5 5 to 17 Under 5 & 5-17 No Related Children Under 18	25,706 8,141 5,370 1,623 2,955 792 2,771	5,366	1,462 342 268 88 136 44 74	6,738 2,061 1,375 460 576 339 686	271 155 59 69 27	293 101 58 10 43 5 43	5,180 942 758 281 238 239 184	2,578 311 234 84 87 63 77	348 89 81 37 21 23 8	2,117 521 434 154 130 150 87	70 15 3 0 0 3 12	67 6 6 6 0 0
Female Householder, No Husband Pre With Children Under 18 Under 5 5 to 17 Under 5 & 5-17 No Related Children Under 18	sent 17,565 14,441 3,310 8,294 2,837 3,124	9,007 1,975 5,560 1,472	1,120 1,004 232 570 202 116	3,830 939 1,824 1,067	429 101 278 50	192 171 63 62 46 21	4,238 4,050 1,182 1,653 1,215 188	2,267 2,204 616 950 638 63	259 243 73 89 81 16	1,596 1,489 440 584 465 107	55 55 27 17 11 0	61 59 26 13 20 2

		Percent Distribution of Poverty by Family Type						Percent of Families in Poverty				
	Total	White	Black	Native Amer.	Asian/ Pac, Is.	Other	Total	White	Black		Asian/ Pac, Is.	Other
Total Families	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	6.8	4.5	8.8	21.5	6.0	7.0
Married Couple Families	43.7	46.8	27.2	40.3	69.3	32.3	3.7	2.5	3.3	14.6	5.3	2.8
With Children Under 18	34.0	32.5	26.8	35.7	58.8	24.2	4.7	2.9	4.8	17.3	6.3	2.9
Under 5	7.8	8.1	4.0	7.0	13.2	20.2	4.5	3.0	2.7	19.0	5.7	7.9
5 to 17	10.4	11.1	8.4	9.4	22.4	0.0	2.9	1.9	3.4	10.6	4.9	0,0
Under 5 & 5-17	15.8	13.3	14.4	19.3	23.2	4.0	8.4	5.0	8.6	23.7	9.4	1.9
No Related Children Under 18	9.7	14.3	0.4	4.6	10.5	8.1	2.1	1.9	0.2	6.7	2.8	2.7
Other Families	56.3	53.2	72.8	59.7	30.7	67.7	20.2	15.7	23.8	31.4	8.4	22.9
Male Householder, No Wife Present	10.2	6.4	18.6	14.7	6.6	6.1	11.6	5.8	26.0	25,3	5.5	5.9
With Children Under 18	8.2	4.8	16,9	12.2	1.3	6.1	14.1	6.7	30.2	31.6	1.9	10.3
Under 5	3.1	1.7	7.7	4.3	0.0	6.1	17.3	8.3	42.0	33.5	0.0	60.0
5 to 17	2.6	1.8	4.4	3.7	0.0	0.0	8.1	4.1	15.4	22.6	0.0	0.0
Under 5 & 5-17	2.6	1.3	4.8	4.2	1.3	0.0	30.2	16.7	52.3	44.2	11.1	0.0
No Related Children Under 18	2.0	1.6	1.7	2.5	5.3	0.0	6.6	4.2	10.8	12.7	10.3	0.0
Female Householder, No Husband Present	46.1	46.8	54.2	45.0	24.1	61.6	24.1	20.6	23.1	34.1	9.9	31.8
With Children Under 18	44.0	45.5	50.8	42.0	24.1	59.6	28.0	24.5	24.2	38.9	12.8	34.5
Under 5	12.9	12.7	15.3	12.4	11.8	26.3	35.7	31.2	31.5	46,9	26.7	41.3
5 to 17	18.0	19.6	18.6	16.5	7.5	13.1	19.9	17.1	15.6	32.0	6.1	21.0
Under 5 & 5-17	13.2	13.2	16.9	13.1	4.8	20.2	42.8	43.3	40.1	43.6	22.0	43.5
No Related Children Under 18	2.0	1.3	3.3	3.0	0.0	2.0	6.0	3.1	13.8	12.6	0.0	9.5
Under 5	12.9	35.7	12.7	31.2	15.3	31.5	12.4	46.9	11.8	26,7	26.3	41.3
5 to 17	18.0	19.9	19.6	17.1	18.6	15.6	16.5	32.0	7.5	6.1	13.1	21.0
Under 5 & 5-17	13.2	42.8	13.2	43.3	16.9	40.1	13.1	43.6	4.8	22.0	20.2	43.5
No Related Children Under 18	2.0	6.0	1.3	3.1	3.3	13.8	3.0	12.6	0.0	0.0	2.0	9.5
Source: 1990 Census of Population and Housing, Sur	mmary Tar	e File 3.										